

Research Article Assessment Form


ID Manuscript : 645/Ar/XI/JNI/2024

Title: Comparison of the Values of Inflammatory Parameters C-Reactive Protein, Neutrophil Lymphocyte Ratio, Platelet Lymphocyte Ratio Between Scalp Block Ropivacaine 0.5% and Intravenous Dexmedetomidine in Craniotomy Brain Tumors

No	Study Items	Reviewer Suggestions
1	Are the systematics and writing format in accordance with (IMRAD) guidelines?	This manuscript has followed the structure of IMRAD
2	Is the use of English good and correct?	1. understandable and quite formal. 2. But some words are typo Like: demedetomidine → dexmedetomidine. Table 3 Preoperativw → Preoperative
3	Is the title concise enough and can clearly describe the content of the article?	- very descriptive yet less concise - Suggestion: "Comparison of Inflammatory Markers: Ropivacaine Scalp Block 0.5% versus Intravenous Dexmedetomidine in Craniotomy of Brain Tumors"
4	Is the abstract concise enough, proportional, IMRAD (Introduction, Method, Results, and Discussion), and able to describe the content of the article clearly?	This abstract is able to describe the content of the article clearly and systematically
5	Does the introduction clearly outline the problem, scope and objectives of the research?	Quite Yes
6	Have the work procedures been written clearly so that the experiment can be repeated and pay attention to ethical aspects??	- Quite clearly, - but a little extra detail is needed regarding Ethics : Manuscript mentions the design of <i>single-blind clinical</i> trials. However, there is no explicit mention of ethical clearance or <i>informed consent</i> from patients in the available text.
7	Are the statistical methods/statistical tests used clear, detailed and appropriate?	Yes.
8	Are the results arranged in detail in the form of tables or figures, and provided with information that is easy to understand?	Very systematic.
9	In the discussion section, it can be seen that there is a connection between the results obtained and the basic concepts and/or hypotheses?	Yes, it is obvious in the discussion.
10	Is the conclusion presented briefly and clearly?	Yes, very dense.

No	Decision	Check (v)
1	Articles can be loaded without changes	
2	Articles can be published with improvements (please write an outline of the revisions on a blank sheet)	✓
3	Articles can be considered for submission to international journals	
4	Article could not be loaded	

Suggestions: In accordance with the suggestions given above



Reviewer: dr. Muhammad Dwi Satriyanto, SpAn-TI., Supsp.N.An(K)., FIP., M.Kes